



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,947	06/23/2005	Thomas Wagner	566/42765	3118
23646 7590 04/01/2008 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP 750-17TH STREET NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675				
EXAMINER				
SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3683				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
04/01/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/509,947

**Applicant(s)**

WAGNER ET AL.

**Examiner**

Christopher P. Schwartz

**Art Unit**

3683

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Christopher P. Schwartz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Perry Palan.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 9 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wolfsteiner et al. '477, Bohm et al. '694, Blattert '729.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments to the independent claims were discussed to reflect applicant's wear adjustment procedure as diagrammatically shown in figure 5. However, the examiner maintained that as broad as the new limitations were, particularly in regard to the "adjustment path", the reference to Wolfsteiner et al. as modified by Bohm or Blattert met the proposed limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher P. Schwartz/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3683  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.